



## TEACHING DIFFERENCE IN SMALL AND LARGE GROUPS OF STUDENTS.

Farzana Mallah<sup>1</sup>, Fozia Rasool Memon<sup>2</sup>, Haresh Kumar<sup>3</sup>, Rashida Akbar, Riaz Hussain Khokhar<sup>5</sup>, Shahabuddin Rind<sup>6</sup>

### ABSTRACT

Learning practice priorities on academic interaction with two groups of small and large group that adopting of teaching investigation from observer. Teaching is various setting on transfer knowledge on retain information effectively in students on establishing of deeper. Pre-clinical instructors are hence answerable for educating, yet additionally permitting understudies to figure out how to progress, hold, and apply what they have realized. Where clinical on undergraduate students learning investigation through small and large groups on verses of the learning group per and post learning that meaningful of teaching association. The findings of both groups learning significantly remain more focused on the challenges in large groups in observational experiences. While comprehend learning of students in both groups were small group was most appreciation in learning then large group. Allowing discussion in-depth in complication of large group was serious issues whereas small group interaction in targeted from teaching teacher was more engaging than large group.

**KEYWORD:** Teaching, Learning, small group students, large group students.

1. (PhD Scholar) Deputy Director, PITE, Nawabshah.
2. Associate professor, GYN/OBS, Bilawal Medical college, LUMHS Jamshoro.
3. Assistant Professor, KMC, Khairpur Mirs.
4. Assistant Professor Gyn/OBS, PUMHSW SBA.
5. Consultant Gastroenterologist, PMCH Nawabshah.
6. Senior Registrar, GMC Sukkar.

**Corresponding Author:** Farzana Mallah, (PhD Scholar) deputy director, PITE, Nawabshah, email: [farzanamallah786@yahoo.com](mailto:farzanamallah786@yahoo.com)

**How to cite this article:** Mallah<sup>F1</sup>, Memon<sup>FR2</sup>, Kumar<sup>H3</sup>, Akbar<sup>R4</sup>, Khokha<sup>RH5</sup>, Rind<sup>S6</sup>. **TEACHING DIFFERENCE IN SMALL AND LARGE GROUPS OF STUDENTS.** JPUMHS; 2022:12:01,36-41. <http://doi.org/10.46536/jpumhs/2022/12.01.347>

*Received Dec 12 2021, Accepted On 15 Jan 2022, Published On 31 March 2022*

### INTRODUCTION:

Small-group learning is a structured version of Team-based learning (TBL) that focuses on student preparation outside of class and knowledge application in class. People are intentionally arranged into different teams of 5-7 students that collaborate throughout the lesson. Students prepare for class by reading before each unit or module of the course.<sup>1</sup>

Patricia Hrynchak and Helen Batty thoroughly explore the theoretical foundations of team-based learning (2012). They argue that team-based learning integrates key functions of constructivist learning. There, "the emphasis is on the spiritual expression of the learner's knowledge." Learning in groups is congruent with The teacher is a facilitator of learning. Inconsistencies between assumptions and new experiences should be encountered by learners to create a foundation for the formation of new understandings. Learning and understanding are aided by a focus on relevant issues

combined with group interaction. All of these parts of learning need reflection. The educator establishes the learning objectives and selects the topics on which the students will work, but then acts as a guide while the students work in groups to solve the problem.

Learners may compare their current understandings with those of other group members and develop new understandings as a result of the constant interaction and conflict among group members, and the careful assessment of issues can help identify common understudy faults. Gather contact and a focus on important issues define team-based learning. Finally, team-based learning provides several opportunities for reflection during the gather status appraisal test, while hearing other teams' stories of their findings, and throughout the peer assessment process, which frequently includes self-evaluation.<sup>2,3</sup>

Koles and colleagues examined medical students' test scores on questions assessing

ideas learnt by TBL with other techniques (2010). On questions measuring knowledge of topic gained through TBL, students scored higher on average than on questions assessing knowledge of content learned through other techniques. Importantly, students in the lowest quartile made the most progress: on average, children in the lowest quartile improved 7.9%, compared to 5.5 percent for all pupils.<sup>4</sup>

Zgheib and colleagues evaluated the influence of team-based learning on second-year restorative understudy in a pharmacology course (2010). They discovered that team-based learning was more effective than traditional lecture-based learning in improving understudy learning of difficult subjects, but not for basic ones.<sup>5</sup> Basic learning is thought to include the acquisition of profitable data because 1) it is hidden so that it can be gotten to from various starting points, 2) it is extremely much aided by previous data, and 3) it is joined by the development of various depictions (mental models) associated with various models for a combination of different quirks that includes the upkeep of gotten data and the capacity for extra execution.<sup>6,7</sup>

It is advised that meaningful learning be matched with meaningful instruction<sup>8</sup>. Teaching, on the other hand, was once seen to be a talent that one should have or learn. Some of the fundamental attributes necessary to give great education were being an effective, motivated, and enthusiastic teacher<sup>8</sup>. Teachers, on the other hand, confront more complicated responsibilities nowadays, as it is no longer sufficient to merely communicate knowledge that pupils learn and store for later use. Today's education focuses on teaching pupils how to learn; in other words, instructors and students are expected to share skills.<sup>9</sup>

As a result, the primary goal of this research was to determine the most effective method for transferring skills and information between teachers and students in order to contribute to meaningful teaching and learning experiences in medical anatomy. The study's specific objectives were to focus on the teaching and learning processes in small and large groups, and to rationalize which teaching and learning process is the most effective. Throughout the investigation, observations were taken and limits were highlighted.

**Objective:** To identify the difference between small and large groups at PUMHS and PITE Nawabshah.

**Rationale:** Learning is most important role in teaching and implementation from the teacher and educator on the pre and post reading comprehension. Where teacher used the two groups in learning association of small and large groups in teaching implementation in identifying improvement and weakness in students of PITE and PUMHS education and medical assistance.

#### **METHODOLOGY**

Group learning is a most important in the learning and teaching implementation. In this study compared of Provincial Institute of Teacher Education (PITE) and Peoples University of Medical Hospitals (PUMHS) on one year course teaching and learning association in small and large groups. The study is taken in descriptive and survey method associated of qualitative analysis of zero hypotheses H<sub>0</sub> in objective of there is no difference between small and large groups tested of pretest and posttest. The posttest will show with tested both groups of PITE and PUMHS.

Inclusion criteria of male and female teachers of both institutes will to participate in this survey and exclusion criteria who not participate that in consent and ethical consideration in taken subject.

#### **Data analysis and interpretation**

Data collected through pre designed proforma filled strategies accordingly in survey and observation and analysis in observed in coding of descriptive method.

1. The study was taken from the PUMHSW 100 undergraduate and Provincial Institute of Teacher Education PITE was 100 students for junior and senior on the lecturing contents on same topic.
2. The partition routine demonstration from different departments divided 8 smaller groups of 25 about in each group. But further attends lecture in larger only one unify group.
3. When section was collection feedback form was filled from students on free record response on chief criteria assessment as follows:
  - The precision through subject taught and clarity.
  - Transfer skills were proceeding for information.
  - Meaningful thinking provoked on ideas provided on reconstruction.
  - Where stimulate listeners engaged on the ability of the teacher.

#### **RESULTS**

The findings were identified from the both small and large groups for resulting from two academic students of undergraduate 100

and 100 from PUMHSW & PITE on the small group 8 students were qualified in learning and large group was in low quality learning from the small group teaching teachers of 8 and large group lectured in 2 groups checked.

### Small group teaching

One of the foremost critical characteristics of little gather educating was the consolation that built over time between the instructor and the understudies, permitting the understudies to center on driving questions that driven them to stand up to and create concepts or standards. Many of the students' questions were inquiries, but inquire put the students in charge of formulating or constructing a notion. The kids were also kept focused on the challenges they were having or didn't comprehend by asking questions.<sup>10</sup>

When the challenges were based on complicated themes, small group teaching sessions were extremely participatory and allowed both the instructor and the students to converse effectively and freely. Models, charts, and demonstrations on cadaveric specimens considerably aided the students' general comprehension ability. For the teacher, such technologies considerably enhanced the knowledge delivery method.<sup>11</sup>

### Small Group vs. Large Group Preference

S:No.	Content	Small group	Large group
1	Overall general opinion	74	26
2	Question bank discussion more effectiveness	69	31
3	To approach teachers with difficulties	78	22
4	Concentration in class	79	21
5	Benefit for high achievers	82	18
6	Benefit for low achievers	63	37
7	Discussion of newer concepts and apposed aspect	38	62
8	Motivation to learn the subject	75	25
9	Participation of students in class	72	28
10	Understanding and following the class	76	24

### Questionnaire was tested in both groups

S:No.	Content	Small group	Large group
1	I Understood and followed the classes	74	26
2	I participated in the class by answering, involving in group discussion, presenting, etc.	69	31
3	I had motivation to learn the subject after the classes	78	22
4	I felt there was more discussion of newer concepts and applied aspect	79	21
5	I felt the classes were beneficial for low achievers	82	18
6	I felt the classes were beneficial for high achievers	63	37
7	I had concentration in classes	38	62
8	I could approach teachers with my difficulties	75	25
9	I felt Question bank discussion was more effective	72	28
10	Overall satisfaction for smaller group or large group classes	76	24

On the other side, small group education has its drawbacks. The first occurred when a few students opted to remain silent and appear indifferent while others appeared to be locked in, and attempts to lock in the noiseless students failed. Concurring to our perceptions, one of the causes for such changes inside the same bunch was hyperactivity shown by certain kids and a fear of being scorned and compared to the enthusiastic children. In any case, not all of the calm understudies were the same, as a few chose to stay silent since it was the finest strategy for them to memorize, which was through watching others. Asking group questions without activating a single student was the most effective technique to assess

each student's level of engagement within the same group. Often, the hyperactive ones were the first to respond, but even after hearing their responses, other students in the same group were given the opportunity to talk about what they believed to be the proper answer; this strategy always proven to be a good way to involve the silent ones.<sup>11</sup>

The propensity of overly excited pupils to distract the teacher was the second issue. In this situation, the pupils were either overly enthusiastic about the issue or had entirely misinterpreted it. To address the issue, the procedure received was legitimate time conveyance and consideration between person understudies, as well as underscoring the significance of understanding the subject matter they perused, making them figure it out that working difficult is essential for great grades, which being intuitively not as it were with the instructor but too among themselves is fundamental for creating compelling communication aptitudes and being well-informed<sup>11</sup>

### **Large group lecturing:**

Since the days when the total number of understudies enrolled in each course did not exceed forty, addresses have been one of the most essential processes for exchanging info to undergrad and postgraduate understudies. The entire lesson might be taken into account individually. However, in today's society, the number of understudies has increased and will continue to increase, as will lesson sizes, making it impossible for instructors to recall individual students' names. Clearly, addressing allows one to speak to a large group of students and may be a method of conveying knowledge swiftly and clearly.<sup>12</sup>

It is cost-effective in today's economic climate, but it appears to be underestimated if all students like and follow the lectures.

In a regular lecture class, each student receives the same amount of material. For some students with an interest or previous knowledge in a specific subject, listening to a lecture may be a rewarding experience. These kids are stimulated in their conceptual thinking as well as their comprehension. However, not all undergraduate students (particularly first-year students) have the same experiences, as many students complain about a lack of comprehension when they are exposed to a new variety of material, anatomical terminology, and terms throughout their first year. As many of them failed to comprehend the basic principles,

lectures for some students remained meaningless and thought stimulating. As a result, big group instruction was a one-way communication process<sup>13</sup>.

During big group lectures, students also suffer intense feelings of alienation and jealousy when they witness someone else in the same class respond or comprehend the material more clearly than they do.<sup>11</sup>

As a result, the response to the issue of whether big group teaching is effective varied and depended on individual students/listeners. After finishing each lesson in the dissection demonstration accompanied by the lectures sessions, each student filled out a feedback form in our own attempt to address the question of whether lectures are better than small group instruction. Only 65 percent of them remarked on the tempo, slides provided, and whether or not the discussion was understood, therefore the results were very subjective. The remaining 35% of students had no trouble comprehending the lecture since they were more interested in and familiar with medical topics, therefore they found it easy to comprehend.<sup>11</sup>

Lecturing was also determined to be highly reliant on how much a given listener or class of students was willing to take, with the consequences varied and relying on individual listeners. Due to time constraints, addressing sessions were also seen to be one-way transmission forms with no intelligent between an instructor and an understudy; therefore it is understandable that not all students/listeners entirely get what an address session is. Because understudy instructor engagement is at an all-time high in little gather instructing, this is frequently where the importance of little gather instructing is felt. This method of evaluation also assisted us in identifying several areas where we may improve our educational abilities..<sup>11</sup>

### **DISCUSSION**

In the classroom, cooperative learning and inquiry-based teaching pay off handsomely. And now we have the evidence to back it up. If the student has a more "true" learning project or activity that requires knowledge topics, a question, ask questions and work more deeply and more difficult work You can see that there is an opportunity to do. Students who participate in the courses brought to create and organize information are explored and involved in comprehensive research and analysis, and they allow them to affect the

learning good.<sup>14</sup> Despite this information in the process of this research, effective skills should be particularly active to explain difficult topics, such teachers, such teachers to support students efficiently and effectively It turned out that it helps quite helpful. If the teacher can convey the concept, students can have a major impact on learning it and how fast. In addition, even if it is the best teacher, it has also been discovered that students could not benefit from students if they ask for their own class or meeting. As a result, ability and effort are required for both teachers and students for meaningful education and learning processes. Both small group lessons and big group lectures have their strengths and disadvantages, but both appointments show that learners will eventually receive useful knowledge about the subject, but the results are different It depends on the It is a relatively non-effective educational device to promote conceptual understanding that lectures exaggerate one of the most effective tools if there is no student without a paperboard There are many evidence that it is not exaggerated or a book. As a result, time and resources are saved to a large group. BUCY, M.C. (2006) Explanation, critical thinking, understanding and student criticality, discussion and understanding between students, understanding and students can only be achieved during faceted faith interaction.<sup>15</sup> Thus, large group applications are good to spread basic level knowledge of topics that can work as guidelines for further reading, but facilitate communication to cause more interest and understanding Is required. As a result, both small group lessons and large group lectures are important elements of teaching and learning, and both instructors and students must be active. Education and learning in small groups continued to play to play an important role in general education of students. It makes it possible to negotiate the meaning to express itself in the subject language, and to determine if they captured it <sup>16</sup>. Small group statements also allow personal contact with academic more than more formal technologies. It also improves the following practical skills: B. Presenting hearing, ideas and beliefs<sup>17</sup>. After dealing with small groups and large group lessons for small group lessons, small group lessons make up an effective social integration of knowledge skills between educator / teachers and students, students, free, I believe that the teacher communicates. To convey the individual students more typically, a small group lesson with a large group lecture that is a single communication

process but basic knowledge, a small group lesson, a meaningful education and learning process with the transfer of capabilities in the maximum range Provide

## CONCLUSION

Little gathering showing has different advantages, some of them are positive cooperation's among understudies from assorted social foundations, trade of data, scholarly accomplishment, ownership of new information and fine abilities, inclination to take care of clinical issues, inspiration to learn, certainty and social turn of events. As the instructor's part in little gathering educating is all the more a facilitator instead of a conventional educator, little gathering educating can be completed really with restricted assets and staff. The experimental outcomes likewise showed a critical improvement of understudies after little gathering educating. Along these lines, it tends to be presumed that little gathering instructing was valued and liked by the clinical understudies.

**Limitations:** The study was limited on only 2 institutes of PITE and PUMHS on local levels were measured.

**Recommendations:** It is recommended that the short group teaching should be must encouraged than large group to the set of higher standard education. **Funding:** nil

**Conflict of interest:** There was of encounter as nothing. **Acknowledgement:** There is thankful to all who management officials and contributors that not only helped but was participated in this survey include the study however similarly receipts intense attention of short group teaching and learning investment and interest.

## REFERENCES

1. Brame, C. J. Team-based learning. Vanderbilt University Center for Teaching. (2013). Retrieved [today's date] from <https://cft.vanderbilt.edu/guides-sub-pages/team-based-learning/>.
2. Hake R Interactive-engagement versus traditional methods: A six-thousand-student survey of mechanics test data for introductory physics courses. *American Journal of Physics* (1998). 66: 64-74.
3. Hrynchak P and Batty H. The educational theory basis of team-based learning. *Medical Teacher* (2012) 34: 796-801.
4. Koles PG, Stolfi A, Borges NJ, Nelson S, Paremelee DX. The impact of team-based learning on medical students' academic performance. *Acad. Med.* (2010). 85: 1739-1745.
5. Zgheib NK, Simaan JA, and Sabra R. Using team-based learning to teach

- pharmacology to second year medical students improves student performance. *Med Teach* (2010). 32: 130-135.
6. Michael, J. In pursuit of meaningful learning. *Advances in Physiology Education* (2001) 25(3): 145-158.
  7. Rendas, A. B., M. Fonseca, et al. Toward meaningful learning in undergraduate medical education using concept maps in a PBL pathophysiology course. *Advances in Physiology Education* ,(2006) 30(1): 23-29.
  8. Azer, S. A. The qualities of a good teacher: how can they be acquired and sustained? *Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine* (2005) 98(2): 67-69.
  9. Barron and Hammond Teaching for meaningful learning, a review of research based on inquiry based and cooperative learning.(2010). <http://www.edutopia.org/pdfs/edutopia-teaching-for-meaningful-learning>, 2008.
  10. National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. 2001. Classroom Assessment and the National Science Education Standards. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press. <https://doi.org/10.17226/9847>. <https://nap.nationalacademies.org/read/9847/chapter/5>
  11. Polly Lama, Jyoti Kulkarni, Binod Tamang, Pranoti Sinha. The impact and significance of small and large group teaching and learning in medical curriculum *Journal of the Anatomical Society of India* August 2015 2(2):2349-1604 Follow journal DOI: [10.1016/j.jasi.2018.06.085](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jasi.2018.06.085)
  12. Lokanath Mishra Tushar Gupta Abha Shree. Online teaching-learning in higher education during lockdown period of COVID-19 pandemic. *International Journal of Educational Research Open* 1 (2020) 100012. <https://reader.elsevier.com/reader/sd/pii/S2666374020300121?token=7FD63B5013169FB5B5BAC8D15AF10FD3625F5E69BF1C1EFFE6BEEEB3C7B089F85EEF82AAF36A64951A8CD98F5A264E8&originRegion=eu-west-1&originCreation=20220410082839>
  13. Michael David Camp. THE POWER OF TEACHER-STUDENT RELATIONSHIPS IN DETERMINING STUDENT SUCCESS. A DISSERTATION IN Education.01-220 <https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/62770657.pdf>
  14. Brigid Barron, Linda Darling-Hammond, Powerful Learning: Studies Show Deep Understanding Derives from Collaborative Methods. October 8, 2008. <https://www.edutopia.org/inquiry-project-learning-research>
  15. Mary Bucy, Encouraging Critical Thinking Through Expert Panel Discussions. *College Teaching* April 2006 54(2):222-224 Follow journal. DOI: [10.3200/CTCH.54.2.222-224](https://doi.org/10.3200/CTCH.54.2.222-224) <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/254338823>
  16. Mohammed Iqram Hossain . Teaching Productive Skills to the Students: A Secondary Level Scenario. A Thesis Submitted to the Department of English and Humanities Of BRAC University.2015. <https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/74352632.pdf>
  17. David Jaques. Teaching small groups. ABC of learning and teaching in medicine. *BMJ*. 2003 Mar 1; 326(7387): 492–494. doi: [10.1136/bmj.326.7387.492](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.326.7387.492). <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1125374/>



© 2021 This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), Attribution-Share Alike CC BY-SA. This license lets others remix, adapt, and build upon your work even for commercial purposes, as long as they credit you and license their new creation under the identical terms