

RELATIONSHIP OF VITAMIN D LEVELS AND GLYCEMIC CONTROL IN PATIENTS WITH TYPE 2 DIABETES MELLITUS.

Nazia Arain¹, Bashir Ahmed Khuhro², Mujahid Ali Chandio³, Naveed Sattar Shaikh, Sadia Kazi⁵, Bhagwani ⁶. Shuja Anwar Kazi⁷

ABSTRACT

OBJECTIVE: To find out relationship between vitamin D levels and glycemic control in patients with type-2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) **STUDY DESIGN:** Cross-sectional study. **PLACE AND DURATION OF THE STUDY:** The department of medicine at PMCH from January 2021 to December 2021. **MATERIAL AND METHODS:** A total of 195 T2DM patients of both genders aged 18 to 70 years were enrolled. All these patients were evaluated for their HbA1c levels along with 25 (OH)D levels. Demographical data along with clinical characteristics were recorded at the time of enrollment. All the patients were further divided in 2 groups as good glycemic control (GGC) as HbA1c below 7.0% or poor glycemic control (PGC) as HbA1c above or equal to 7.0% and compared in terms of demographic and laboratory parameters. **RESULTS:** Out of a total of 195 patients, there were 112 (57.4%) male. Overall mean age was noted to be 47.82 ± 7.0 years. There were 138 (70.8%) patients having PGC while 57 (29.2%) had GGC. The 25 (OH)D was significantly low among patients with PGC versus GGC (p<0.0001). Significantly more patients with GGC had sufficient levels of 25 (OH)D in comparison to PGC (54.4% vs. 20.3%, p<0.00001). **CONCLUSION:** Poor glycemic control was found to have linkage with vitamin D deficiency while inverse relationship was seen between levels of HbA1c and vitamin D among patient having T2DM.

KEYWORDS: Glycemic Control, Type-2 Diabetes Mellitus, Vitamin D.

- 1. Postgraduate Student, Department of medicine, PUMHSW, SBA.
- 2. Associate professor, Department of medicine, PUMHSW, SBA.
- 3. Associate professor, Department of medicine, PUMHSW, SBA.
- 4. Assistant professor, Department of nephrology, PUMHSW, SBA.
- 5. Associate professor Department of Pharmacology, Isra University Hyderabad.
- 6. Lecturer, department of biochemistry, PUMHSW, SBA.
- 7. Department of Biomedical Sciences College of Medicine, King Faisal University Al-Ahssa

Corresponding Author: Dr Bashir Ahmed Khuhro, Associate Professor, PUMHSW, SBA.

HOW TO CITE THIS ARTICLE Arain N¹, Khuhro BA², Chandio MA³, Shaikh NS⁴, Kazi S⁵, Bhagwani⁶ Kazi SA⁷RELATIONSHIP OF VITAMIN D LEVELS AND GLYCEMIC CONTROL IN PATIENTS WITH TYPE 2 DIABETES MELLITUS. JPUMHS;2024:14:02,35-38. http://doi.org/10.46536/jpumhs/2024/14.02.513

Received May 25.2024, Accepted On 15th June 2024, Published On 30th June 2024

INTRODUCTION

Vitamin D is linked to skeletal integrity while recently, the extra-skeletal role of vitamin D is raising attention among researchers around the world as vitamin D is known to have many other functions.^{1,2} Commonest reasons behind vitamin D deficiency (VDD) include reduction in exposure to sunlight which is known to ignite the synthesis of vitamin D via skin. Globally, more than 1 billion are estimated to have VDD³ while community data from Pakistan estimated VDD to be present among 54%.⁴ Decline in vitamin D level in serum has been found to enhance insulin resistance as well as development of type-2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM).⁵ Higher levels of plasma vitamin D have been associated with reduction in risk for the development of T2DM. The VDD has also been described among patients of metabolic syndrome while some studies have shown vitamin D levels to be inversely linked with HbA1c among women having gestational diabetes.⁶ A meta-analysis done in 2017 revealed vitamin D supplementation to be

related to decline in fasting blood sugar as well as HbA1c levels in T2DM patients with VDD.⁷ Scarcity of data exists about role of VDD among T2DM patients but a recent local study from Karachi described linkage between VDD and increased levels of HbA1c.⁸ This study was planned to find out relationship between vitamin D levels and glycemic control in patients with T2DM. The findings of this study were thought to enlighten us about the possible linkage between poor glycemic control (PGC) and lower levels of vitamin D which could further help us planning strategies to handle these T2DM patients in a better way.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The department of medicine at PMCH from January 2021 to December 2021.

Endorsement from institutional moral council was procured for this review. All of the people who participated in this study gave their written permission. Using p=54 percent, 4 z=1.96, a confidence level of 95 percent, and an e (margin of error) of 7 percent, the estimated sample size was 195. The study included 195 T2DM patients of both sexes, aged 18 to 70, who visited the outpatient department of medicine Fasting serum glucose levels for all patients were greater than or equal to 126 mg/dl. The 25 (OH)D levels and HbA1c levels of all these patients were assessed. Patients with history of vitamin D supplementation in the past 6 months were excluded. Patients having chronic ailments like chronic liver disease, uremia, and any kinds of cancer or lung disease were also not enrolled.

Demographical data along with clinical characteristics of all the patients were noted at the time of enrollment. A total of 10ml blood with a minimum period of 4 hours fasting was obtained and sent for analysis of 25(OH)D, parathyroid hormone (PTH), alanine aminotransferases (ALT) and creatinine using kit methods. All the patients were further divided in 2 groups as good glycemic controls (GGC) as HbA1c below 7.0% or PGC as HbA1c above or equal to 7.0% as described by American Diabetes Association.⁹

SPSS rendition 26.0 was utilized for information examination. The frequency and percentages of qualitative variables like gender, residence area, and daily sunlight exposure (more than or less than 2 hours per day) were used. Mean and standard deviation (SD) were displayed as quantitative variables. The chisquare test was used to compare qualitative data, and the independent sample t-test was used to compare quantitative variables, with a p-value of 0.05 considered significant.

RESULTS

Out of a total of 195 patients, there were 112 (57.4%) male. Mean age was 47.82 ± 7.0 years. Table 1 is showing characteristics of patients. There were 138 (70.8%) patients who had PGC while 57 (29.2%) had GGC.

1 a D C 1. Character is the of 1 attents (II-175)	Table 1:	Characteristics	of Patients	(n=195)
---	----------	-----------------	-------------	---------

Characteristics	Number	
	(%) /	
	Mean <u>+</u> SD	
Gender	Male	112 (57.4%)
	Female	43 (42.6%)
Age (years)	47.82 <u>+</u> 7.0	
`Age at the Time	42.46 <u>+</u> 6.5	
of Diagnosis of		
T2DM		
Area of Residence	Rural	121 (62.1%)
	Urban	74 (37.9%)
Sunlight Exposure	Yes	77(39.5%)
as more than 2	No	118 (60.5%)
hours per day		
BMI (kg/m^2)	27.8 <u>+</u> 5.4	
Waist	96.8 <u>+</u> 8.2	
Circumference		
(cm)		
25 (OH)D (ng/ml)	23.5 <u>+</u> 9.2	
Creatinine (mg/dl)	0.88 <u>+</u> 0.2	
Glycemic Control	Poor	138 (70.8%)
	Good	57 (29.2%)

Table 2 is showing comparison of demographic and laboratory parameters among those who had GGC and PGC. No statistically significant difference was noted in between patients of both groups (p>0.05) except 25 (OH)D was significantly low among patients with PGC (p<0.0001).

Significantly more patients with GGC had sufficient levels of 25 (OH)D in comparison to PGC (54.4% vs. 20.3%) while overall p value turned out to be less than 0.00001 (Table 3).

DISCUSSION

Some researchers have proposed vitamin D to have major role in immune system as sufficient levels of vitamin D are linked with immune tolerance¹⁰ while its deficiency can contribute to autoimmune disorders like multiple sclerosis¹¹, rheumatoid arthritis¹² and diabetes mellitus.¹³

Table 2: Comparison of Demographic andlaboratory characteristics between patientshaving GGC and PGC

	GGC	PGC	P-	
	(n=57)	(n=138)	Value	
Characteristic	Number			
S	(%) /			
	Mean <u>+</u> S			
	D			
Gender	Male	38	74	0.235
Gender	Female	19	24	7
Age (years)	46.18 <u>+</u> 6. 8	48.24 <u>+</u> 7. 4	0.0720	
`Age at the				
Time of	41.27 <u>+</u> 5.	42.82 <u>+</u> 6.	0.1274	
Diagnosis of	8	9	0.1374	
T2DM				
Area of	Rural	38	83	0.393
Area of Residence	Rural Urban	38 19	83 55	0.393 3
Area of Residence Sunlight	Rural Urban	38 19	83 55	0.393 3
AreaofResidenceSunlightExposureas	Rural Urban	38 19	83 55 0 8701	0.393
AreaofResidenceSunlightExposureasmorethan2	Rural Urban 22	38 19 55	83 55 0.8701	0.393
Area of Residence Sunlight Exposure as more than 2 hours per day	Rural Urban 22	38 19 55	83 55 0.8701	0.393 3
Area of Residence Sunlight Exposure as more than 2 hours per day BMI (kg/m ²)	Rural Urban 22 27.2±5.1	38 19 55 28.1±5.7	83 55 0.8701 0.900	0.393
Area of Residence Sunlight Exposure as more than 2 hours per day BMI (kg/m ²) Waist	Rural Urban 22 27.2±5.1	38 19 55 28.1±5.7	83 55 0.8701 0.900	0.393 3
Area of Residence Sunlight Exposure as more than 2 hours per day BMI (kg/m ²) Waist Circumferenc	Rural Urban 22 27.2±5.1 96.1±7.8	38 19 55 28.1±5.7 97.0±8.3	83 55 0.8701 0.900 0.4844	0.393 3
Area of Residence Sunlight Exposure as more than 2 hours per day BMI (kg/m ²) Waist Circumferenc e (cm)	Rural Urban 22 27.2±5.1 96.1±7.8	38 19 55 28.1±5.7 97.0±8.3	83 55 0.8701 0.900 0.4844	0.393 3
AreaofResidenceSunlightExposureasmorethan2hoursper dayBMI (kg/m²)WaistCircumference (cm)25(OH)D	Rural Urban 22 27.2±5.1 96.1±7.8 28 2+7 4	38 19 55 28.1±5.7 97.0±8.3	83 55 0.8701 0.900 0.4844 <0.000	0.393 3
Area of Residence Sunlight Exposure as more than 2 hours per day BMI (kg/m ²) Waist Circumferenc e (cm) 25 (OH)D (ng/ml)	Rural Urban 22 27.2±5.1 96.1±7.8 28.2+7.4	38 19 55 28.1±5.7 97.0±8.3 19.6±9.9	83 55 0.8701 0.900 0.4844 <0.000 1	0.393
Area of Residence Sunlight Exposure as more than 2 hours per day BMI (kg/m ²) Waist Circumferenc e (cm) 25 (OH)D (ng/ml) Creatinine (mg/dl)	Rural Urban 22 27.2±5.1 96.1±7.8 28.2+7.4 0.87±0.1	38 19 55 28.1±5.7 97.0±8.3 19.6±9.9 0.88±0.2	83 55 0.8701 0.900 0.4844 <0.000 1 0.7200	0.393 3

"GGC = Good glycemic control" "PGC = Poor glycemic control"

Table 3: Comparison of Status of Vitamin D Levels with respect to Glycemic Control Among Patients having T2DM

25 (OH)D as	GGC	PGC	P-Value
ng/ml	(n=57)	(n=138)	
Deficient	12	65	< 0.00001
(<20.0)	(21.1%)	(47.1%)	
Insufficient	14	45	
(20.0-29.9)	(24.6%)	(32.6%)	
Sufficient	31	28	
(<u>></u> 30.0)	(54.4%)	(20.3%)	

"GGC = Good glycemic control"

"PGC = Poor glycemic control"

We noted significantly lower 25(OH)D levels in T2DM patients with PGC in comparison to those with GGC. It was also seen that inverse relationship was present between levels of HbA1c and 25(OH)D as rising levels of HbA1c meant that those patients were having lower levels of 25(OH)D and vice versa. Likewise, it was also noted that significantly more patients with PGC had VDD and insufficiency versus those with GGC. A study from Greece found vitamin D levels to be significantly lower among T2DM patients when compared to controls (p<0.01) while inverse association was seen in terms of HbA1c levels and vitamin D levels among patients with T2DM.¹⁴ These results further reiterate the role and linkage of vitamin D with glucose metabolism and normal metabolic functions. A local study from Agha Khan University Pakistan revealed that there was linkage between VDD and abnormal HbA1c levels among T2DM patients and the researchers proposed that these individuals can be benefited by vitamin D supplementation.⁸ Khan DM et al from Lahore in another local study revealed vitamin D administration to assist in lowering HbA1c in T2DM.¹⁵ The possible mechanism behind the impact of vitamin D to influence glucose metabolism among T2DM patients could be due to its role in improving insulin sensitivity and beta cell survival while vitamin D is also known to increase insulin secretion from pancreatic beta cells along with regulating calcium flux from normalization of glucose tolerance.^{16,17}

Like in the present study, inverse relationship between levels of HbA1c and vitamin have been reported by many authors from different parts of the world^{14,18,19} while there are very few studies conducted on the same subject in Asian population. On the contrary, some researchers found no benefits in glycemic control with vitamin D supplementation²⁰ which warrants further research.

Our study had some limitations as well. As this was a single center cross sectional study so findings of our study cannot be generalized. We were also unable to assess the role of different oral hypoglycemic agents or insulin on vitamin D levels among present set of patients. Further studies enrolling large sets of patients with multiple study centers will further evaluate the findings of the present study.

CONCLUSION

Poor glycemic control was found to have linkage with VDD while inverse relationship was seen between levels of HbA1c and vitamin D among patient having T2DM.

ETHICS APPROVAL: The ERC gave ethical review approval. **CONSENT TO PARTICIPATE:** written and verbal consent was taken from subjects and next of kin. **FUNDING:** The work was not financially supported by any organization. The entire expense was taken by the authors.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS: We are thankful to all who were involved in our study. AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS: All persons who meet authorship criteria are listed as authors, and all authors certify that they have participated in the work to take public responsibility of this manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST: No competing interest declared

REFERENCES:

- Bouillon R, Marcocci C, Carmeliet G, et al. Skeletal and Extraskeletal Actions of Vitamin D: Current Evidence and Outstanding Questions. Endocr Rev. 2019;40(4):1109-1151. doi:10.1210/er.2018-00126
- Marino R, Misra M. Extra-Skeletal Effects of Vitamin D. Nutrients. 2019;11(7):1460. doi:10.3390/nu11071460
- 3. Hollick MF, Chen TC. Vitamin D deficiency a worldwide problem with health consequences. Am J Clin Nutr. 2008;87:10805–68.
- Riaz H, Finlayson AE, Bashir S, Hussain S, Mahmood S, Malik F, Godman B. Prevalence of Vitamin D deficiency in Pakistan and implications for the future. Expert Rev Clin Pharmacol. 2016;9(2):329-38. doi: 10.1586/17512433.2016.1122519
- Hu Z, Chen J, Sun X, Wang L, Wang A. Efficacy of vitamin D supplementation on glycemic control in type 2 diabetes patients: A meta-analysis of interventional studies. Medicine (Baltimore). 2019;98(14):e14970. doi:10.1007/MD.00000000014070.

doi:10.1097/MD.000000000014970

- Lau S, Gunton J, Athayde N, Byth K, Cheung, N. Serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D and glycated haemoglobin levels in women with gestational diabetes mellitus. Med J Aust 2011;194:334–7.
- Wu C, Qiu S, Zhu X, Li L. Vitamin D supplementation and glycemic control in type 2 diabetes patients: A systematic review and metaanalysis. Metabolism.2017;73:67-76.
- Iqbal K, Islam N, Mehboobali N, Asghar A, Iqbal MP. Association of vitamin D deficiency with poor glycaemic control in diabetic patients. J Pak Med Assoc. 2016 Dec;66(12):1562-1565
- American Diabetes Association. Glycemic Targets. Sec. 6. Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2015; 38:S33-S40.
- Weiss S. Bacterial components plus vitamin D: the ultimate solution to the asthma (autoimmune disease) epidemic? J Allergy Clin Immunol 2011;127:1128–30.

- Ho S, Alappat L, Awad, A. Vitamin D and multiple sclerosis. Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr 2012;52:980–7.
- Haga H, Schmedes A, Naderi Y, Moreno A, Peen E. Severe deficiency of 25hydroxyvitamin D(3) (25-OH-D (3)) is associated with high disease activity of rheumatoid arthritis. Clin Rheumatol 2013;32: 629–33.
- Lim S, Kim M, Choi S, Shin C, Park K, Jang H, et al. Association of vitamin D deficiency with incidence of type 2 diabetes in high-risk Asian subjects. Am J Clin Nutr 2013;97:524–30.
- 14. Kostoglou-Athanassiou I, Athanassiou P, Gkountouvas A, Kaldrymides P. Vitamin D and glycemic control in diabetes mellitus type 2. Ther Adv Endocrinol Metab. 2013 Aug;4(4):122-8. doi: 10.1177/2042018813501189
- 15. Khan DM, Jamil A, Randhawa FA, Butt NF, Malik U. Efficacy of oral vitamin D on glycated haemoglobin (HbA1c) in type 2 diabetics having vitamin D deficiency - A randomized controlled trial. J Pak Med Assoc. 2018 May;68(5):694-697.
- Pittas AG, Lau J, Hu FB, Dawson-Hughes B. The role of vitamin D and calcium in type 2 diabetes. A systematic review and metaanalysis. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2007;92:2017-29.
- 17. Zeitz U, Weber K, Soegiarto DW, Wolf E, Balling R, Erben RG. Impaired insulin secretory capacity in mice lacking a functional vitamin D receptor. FASEB J. 2003;17:509-11.
- Hutchinson MS, Figenschau Y, Njølstad I, Schirmer H, Jorde R. Serum 25hydroxyvitamin D levels are inversely associated with glycated haemoglobin (HbA(1c)). The Tromsø Study. Scand J Clin Lab Invest. 2011;71:399-406.
- Miñambres I, Sánchez-Quesada JL, Vinagre I, Sánchez-Hernández J, Urgell E, de Leiva A, et al. Hypovitaminosis D in type 2 diabetes: relation with features of the metabolic syndrome and glycemic control. Endocr Res. 2015;40:160-5.
- 20. George PS, Pearson ER, Witham MD. Effect of vitamin D supplementation on glycaemic control and insulin resistance: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Diabet Med. 2012; 29: e142-50.

JOURNAL OF PEOPLES UNIVERSITY OF MEDICAL & HEALTH SCIENCES FOR WOMEN, 2024:14(02)

38